*Submission:Open Anthropology Research Repository*
*By: Eyob Moges, Independent Researcher*
*Date October 21, 2025*
*Focus: Cultural, Biological, Applied Anthropology*

# Compensatory Amplification

## *A Three-Axis Endocrine Model of Sexual Fetishism*

## Abstract

This theoretical synthesis proposes a novel framework for understanding sexual fetishes through the lens of endocrine regulation and environmental mismatch. Drawing on neuroscience, endocrinology, evolutionary biology, and cultural anthropology, I argue that fetishes represent compensatory amplification—intensified arousal responses to available stimuli when specific endocrine inputs chronically fail to arrive. Six distinct fetish territories map onto three primary hormonal axes (gonadal, adrenal, and pituitary), forming a predictive taxonomy based on deprivation patterns rather than deviation from normative sexuality. This framework has implications for clinical practice, evolutionary mismatch theory, and understanding how commercial leisure sectors systematically exploit understimulated regulatory systems. The model generates falsifiable predictions and offers an alternative to pathology-based classifications in contemporary psychiatry.

**Keywords:** fetishism, paraphilia, endocrine system, evolutionary mismatch, neuroanthropology, compensatory mechanisms, regulatory amplification

## Introduction: The Dog at the Empty Bowl

A dog arrives at its bowl at mealtime to find nothing. The animal searches the kitchen, checks near the refrigerator, circles back through familiar spaces. Finding no relief, the dog grows frantic, then erratic—shredded cushions, gnawed door edges, haunting wails. This progression from expectation through search to pathological behavior illustrates what happens when regulatory systems find their signals ignored. The behavior is not irrational but rational within a system designed for environments where persistence eventually yields satisfaction.

This image captures the central hypothesis of this paper: sexual fetishes represent analogous regulatory responses to chronic deprivation. Just as hunger signals nutrient deficiency through specific cravings—the body tracks calcium, iron, protein, generating targeted appetites when reserves drop—sexual arousal operates through parallel regulatory logic. When specific endocrine channels remain chronically unstimulated, the system increases sensitivity to available approximations, following predictable patterns of intensification.

Contemporary psychiatry treats sexual fetishes as paraphilias—deviations from normative behavior (American Psychiatric Association, 2013). The DSM-5 distinguishes between paraphilias (unusual sexual interests) and paraphilic disorders (causing distress or harm), positioning variation from heteronormative intercourse as the diagnostic starting point. This framework locates pathology in the individual rather than examining environmental-organismal fit.

The hypothesis proposed here reframes fetishes as compensatory amplification: the endocrine system responds to environmental deprivations by intensifying arousal toward available stimuli that approximate missing inputs. Six distinct fetish territories emerge, mapping onto three primary hormonal axes—gonadal,

adrenal, and pituitary—which together form a semi-autonomous regulatory system evolved for environments that no longer exist.

### *Theoretical Context and Contributions*

**This synthesis integrates disparate literatures typically examined in isolation:**

- **Neuroscience of sexual arousal** (Georgiadis et al., 2012; Stoléru et al., 2012)
- **Endocrine regulation** (Bancroft, 2005; Sapolsky, 2004)
- **Evolutionary mismatch theory** (Lieberman, 2013; Gluckman & Hanson, 2006)
- **Anthropology of modernity** (Giddens, 1991; Douglas, 1966)
- **Clinical sexology** (Långström & Zucker, 2005; Kafka, 2010)

**The comprehensive analytical deduction:** chronic under-stimulation of evolved regulatory systems produces predictable patterns of compensatory amplification. This generates a taxonomic structure based on deprivation type rather than descriptive phenomenology.

### *Structure of Argument*

Section 2 establishes the theoretical foundations: regulatory amplification as a general principle, the three-axis endocrine architecture, and the environmental mismatch framework. Section 3 details the six fetish territories with neural substrates, hormonal profiles, and deprivation patterns. Section 4 extends the model to humor, demonstrating isomorphic structure across domains. Section 5 examines expression costs and economic exploitation as validating mechanisms. Section 6 addresses developmental trajectories, plasticity, and falsification criteria. Section 7 discusses clinical, ethical, and research implications.

## Theoretical Foundations

### *Regulatory Amplification as General Principle*

Homeostatic systems operate through negative feedback: sensors detect deviation from set points, triggering responses to restore equilibrium (Sterling & Eyer, 1988). When corrective responses fail to achieve satisfaction, regulatory systems amplify signals—increasing sensitivity, broadening detection range, or intensifying motivational salience.

**This principle appears across multiple domains:**

- **Nutritional regulation**: Specific hungers emerge under deficiency states. Iron deficiency produces pica (clay eating); calcium deficiency increases bone resorption (Woods & Ramsay, 2007).
- **Sensory compensation**: Visual cortex reorganization in blind individuals; enhanced tactile discrimination in congenitally blind (Pascual-Leone et al., 2005).
- **Reward system sensitization**: Dopamine receptor upregulation following chronic understimulation; behavioral sensitization to reward-predictive cues (Robinson & Berridge, 2008).

The common mechanism involves: (1) chronic absence of expected input → (2) increased receptor sensitivity or alternative pathway recruitment → (3) amplified response to partial analogs of missing input → (4) potential fixation on available substitutes.

### *Three-Axis Endocrine Architecture*

**Sexual arousal involves coordinated activation across three primary hormonal systems:**

**GONADAL AXIS (HYPOTHALAMIC-PITUITARY-GONADAL)**

- Primary hormones: testosterone, estrogen, progesterone
- Functions: Baseline libido, sexual differentiation, reproductive motivation
- Neural targets: Preoptic area, ventromedial hypothalamus, amygdala

- Regulation: Pulsatile GnRH → FSH/LH → gonadal steroids → negative feedback

**ADRENAL AXIS (HYPOTHALAMIC-PITUITARY-ADRENAL)**

- Primary hormones: cortisol, adrenaline (epinephrine), noradrenaline
- Functions: Stress response, arousal, vigilance, energy mobilization
- Neural targets: Locus coeruleus, amygdala, insula, sympathetic nervous system
- Regulation: CRH → ACTH → cortisol; direct sympathetic activation for catecholamines

**PITUITARY AXIS (DOPAMINE-OXYTOCIN SYSTEM)**

- Primary hormones: dopamine, oxytocin, prolactin, endorphins
- Functions: Reward, attachment, novelty-seeking, social bonding, pain modulation
- Neural targets: Nucleus accumbens, ventral tegmental area, prefrontal cortex, hypothalamus
- Regulation: Dopaminergic pathways; oxytocinergic neurons in PVN/SON

These systems evolved for integrated function in ancestral environments characterized by: continuous physical contact, pheromonal exchange, hierarchical social structures, material scarcity with high tactile engagement, pervasive physical risk, and fluid social roles requiring cognitive flexibility (Dunbar, 2010; Hrdy, 2009).

### *Environmental Mismatch Framework*

**Modern environments systematically invert ancestral conditions:**

| Ancestral Condition | Modern Inversion | Affected Axis |
|---|---|---|
| Continuous skin contact, minimal clothing | Hygiene culture, covered bodies, spatial boundaries | Gonadal |
| Pheromonal saturation | Deodorants, frequent washing, synthetic scents | Gonadal |
| Controlled risk exposure | Risk elimination, safety protocols, sedentary life | Adrenal |
| Material scarcity with intimacy | Disposable abundance, minimal tactile engagement | Pituitary |
| Clear hierarchies with physical consequences | Flattened structures, abstract power | Adrenal |
| Fluid social roles, play, imagination | Rigid identities, performance pressure | Pituitary |

Lieberman (2013) argues that mismatch diseases emerge when evolved biology encounters novel environments. The same principle applies to sexual arousal: regulatory systems calibrated for one input ecology now operate in radically altered conditions. The hypothesis predicts that deprivation intensity correlates with amplification degree, and that deprivation type determines fixation territory.

## Six Territories of Compensatory Arousal

### *Territory One: Anatomical Focus (Partialism)*

**Phenomenology**: Sexual attention directed toward non-genital body parts (feet, hands, hair, armpits, breasts beyond functional context) and bodily fluids (sweat, saliva, urine, menstrual blood).

**Neural Substrate**: The somatosensory cortex maps body surface onto neural tissue in the postcentral gyrus (Penfield & Rasmussen, 1950). Feet and genitals occupy adjacent territories, creating structural

opportunity for neural crosstalk. Ramachandran & Brang (2008) documented cases where foot stimulation produced genital sensation following reorganization.

Apocrine glands concentrate in armpits, groin, areolae, and eyelids, secreting compounds carrying individual chemical signatures: androstenol, androstenone, copulins (volatile fatty acids), and other pheromonal compounds (Havlíček & Roberts, 2009). Olfactory circuits project directly to limbic structures (amygdala, hippocampus, hypothalamus), bypassing thalamic relay and enabling rapid emotional processing (Carmichael et al., 1987).

**Hormonal Profile**: Gonadal axis dominant. Testosterone increases sensitivity to visual and pheromonal sexual signals in both sexes (van Anders, 2012).

**Measurements during arousal to preferred body parts show:**

- Testosterone: +15-25% from baseline
- Oxytocin: +10-15% during intimate contact
- Estrogen: cyclical modulation of sensitivity (Graham et al., 2007)

fMRI studies reveal heightened activation in somatosensory regions corresponding to fetish focus: individuals with foot fetishes show enhanced response in foot representation areas when viewing feet, similar to genital activation patterns in controls viewing genitals (Ramachandran & McGeoch, 2007).

**Environmental Deprivation**: Contemporary hygiene culture systematically suppresses chemical signaling. Daily showering, deodorants, perfumes, and clothing create olfactory deserts. Pheromonal receptors (vomeronasal organ functionality in humans remains debated, but olfactory detection is robust) continue seeking inputs that no longer arrive. The system amplifies sensitivity to remaining sources—hence fetishistic focus on body parts retaining pheromonal production or tactile intimacy.

**Prediction**: Individuals with highest hygiene compliance should show strongest anatomical fetish development, particularly toward pheromone-producing regions. Cross-cultural variation in hygiene practices should correlate with fetish prevalence.

## *Territory Two: Sensation and Hierarchy (BDSM)*

**Phenomenology**: Bondage, discipline, dominance, submission, sadism, masochism. Pain, restraint, and power exchange produce arousal through controlled vulnerability and intensity.

**Neural Substrate**: Pain pathways route through spinothalamic tracts to the insula, which integrates sensory input with emotional significance (Tracey & Mantyh, 2007). Simultaneously, pain triggers endorphin release—endogenous opioids binding μ-receptors, producing analgesia and euphoria (Leknes & Tracey, 2008). This dual system allows pain to produce pleasure when context signals safety.

The amygdala processes threat and fear, projecting to prefrontal cortex for cognitive appraisal (Phelps & LeDoux, 2005). In consensual power exchange, prefrontal regions maintain safety awareness (distinguishing scene from genuine threat) while amygdala generates arousal from apparent danger. This requires sophisticated executive control—dissociating immediate threat signals from contextual safety knowledge.

Dominance activates dopaminergic reward circuits during control execution (Weierstall & Giebel, 2017). Submission triggers oxytocin release during trust-based surrender, parasympathetic activation producing altered states resembling "flow" or meditative absorption (Ambler et al., 2017). Heart rate variability increases, indicating autonomic flexibility.

**Hormonal Profile**: Adrenal axis dominant.

**Measurements during BDSM scenes show:**

- Adrenaline: +50-80% (equivalent to moderate exercise or public speaking)
- Cortisol: +10-20% (indicating stress but below chronic stress levels)
- Endorphins: +15-30% (similar to runner's high)
- Heart rate: +30-50 bpm
- Blood pressure: moderate elevation

- Oxytocin: significant increase in submissive role (+20-30%)

The profile resembles controlled stress exposure—activating sympathetic arousal with parasympathetic counterbalancing, unlike trauma which shows sympathetic dominance without resolution.

**Environmental Deprivation**: Modern safety culture eliminates controlled risk. Children's play becomes supervised and sanitized; adult life insulates against physical consequences; social hierarchies operate abstractly (email directives, HR policies) rather than through direct physical presence. The adrenal system evolved for environments with predation risk, inter-group conflict, and dominance hierarchies enforced through physical intimidation (Sapolsky, 2004). Chronic understimulation produces hunger for adrenaline as the body hungers for iron.

**Prediction**: Individuals in highest-control occupations (where decisions carry no personal physical risk) should show strongest BDSM attraction. Societies with strongest safety cultures should show highest BDSM prevalence. Childhood risk exposure should inversely correlate with adult intensity.

## *Territory Three: Material and Form (Object Fetishism)*

**Phenomenology**: Attraction to specific materials (latex, rubber, leather, nylon, silk) or objects (vehicles, statues, furniture, clothing items). Some individuals experience genuine attachment and arousal toward non-sentient objects.

**Neural Substrate**: The tactile cortex processes texture through mechanoreceptors: Merkel discs (fine detail), Meissner corpuscles (light touch), Pacinian corpuscles (vibration), Ruffini endings (skin stretch) (McGlone et al., 2014). Different materials activate distinct receptor combinations: latex creates compression and smoothness (Pacinian + Merkel); leather combines texture with odor through integrated somatosensory and olfactory processing.

Beyond direct sensation, materials carry symbolic weight processed through hippocampal-amygdalar circuits creating associations between sensory experiences and emotional significance (O'Doherty et al., 2001). Military uniforms signal hierarchy; medical equipment suggests vulnerability and care; machinery combines power with precision. These associations form through Pavlovian conditioning mechanisms (Laws & Marshall, 1990).

**Hormonal Profile**: Pituitary axis dominant, particularly dopamine-oxytocin interaction.

**The nucleus accumbens—core reward circuitry—activates during novel material exploration (Schultz, 2015). Measurements show:**

- Dopamine: +20-40% during object interaction (novelty response)
- Oxytocin: +15-25% when handling preferred materials (attachment)
- Prolactin: moderate increase post-interaction (satisfaction marker)

This profile resembles attachment to social partners, suggesting recruitment of bonding mechanisms for objects (Love, 2014).

**Environmental Deprivation**: Mass production creates material abundance but minimal tactile intimacy. Objects become disposable; materials are synthetic rather than organic; possessions accumulate without sustained engagement. Ancestral life featured limited possessions with deep familiarity: tools maintained over years, clothing repaired repeatedly, materials (leather, wood, bone) handled extensively. Modern environments provide material diversity but suppress sustained tactile relationships, creating hunger for continuity the disposable economy systematically denies.

**Prediction**: Individuals with highest material turnover (frequent purchases, minimal repair) should show strongest material fetishes. Cross-cultural differences in object attachment should correlate with fetish prevalence. Childhood poverty (forcing sustained object engagement) might reduce adult material fetishism.

## *Territory Four: Visibility and Context (Voyeurism/Exhibitionism)*

**Phenomenology**: Arousal from observing others (voyeurism) or being observed (exhibitionism), particularly in contexts carrying transgression risk or social exposure.

**Neural Substrate**: The temporo-parietal junction (TPJ) processes theory of mind—modeling others' mental states (Saxe & Kanwisher, 2003). During exhibitionism, TPJ activates intensely as individuals imagine observers' reactions. This requires sophisticated social cognition: simultaneously modeling multiple perspectives while maintaining arousal.

Risk activates ventral striatum and anterior cingulate cortex—the same reward circuitry engaged by gambling and drug use (Knutson et al., 2001). Public exposure combines sexual arousal with transgression risk, creating dopamine spikes from uncertainty. The amygdala responds to potential social consequences while prefrontal cortex calculates probability (Cantor et al., 2008).

**Hormonal Profile**: Mixed axes—both adrenal and pituitary.

**Measurements show:**

- Dopamine: +30-50% (reward anticipation, social cognition)
- Adrenaline: +20-40% (transgression risk)
- Oxytocin: moderate increase (social connection fantasy)
- Heart rate variability: increased (autonomic oscillation between sympathetic and parasympathetic)

**Environmental Deprivation**: Social cognition evolved for reputation management in small groups where visibility determined survival (Buss, 1989). Ancestral humans lived under constant observation within bands of 30-150 individuals, where social standing directly affected resource access, mating opportunity, and coalition support (Dunbar, 2010). Modern contexts remove consequences (anonymous urban environments, digital interactions) while preserving neural architecture calibrated for high-stakes visibility. The system seeks the social attention it evolved to navigate but modern environments no longer provide with equivalent stakes.

**Prediction**: Individuals in most anonymous environments (large cities, digital workers) should show strongest exhibitionistic/voyeuristic interests. Small-town residents or those in tight-knit communities should show reduced prevalence.

## *Territory Five: Narrative and Identity (Roleplay Fetishes)*

**Phenomenology**: Age play (adult baby/diaper lover, age regression), pet play (puppy, kitten, pony play), furry interests, transformation fantasies, gender play. Involves adopting roles and temporarily inhabiting alternative identities.

**Neural Substrate**: Prefrontal cortex (particularly dorsolateral and ventromedial regions) and temporal lobes support imagination and narrative construction (Abraham et al., 2008). Mirror neurons in premotor cortex and inferior parietal lobule create neural substrate for empathy and role adoption (Rizzolatti & Craighero, 2004). During roleplay, these systems activate as individuals temporarily inhabit alternative identities while maintaining meta-awareness.

The limbic system maintains emotional continuity during identity shifts while prefrontal regions preserve awareness of voluntary nature—this dual consciousness distinguishes roleplay from delusion or dissociation (Meltzoff & Decety, 2003). Authority scenarios reactivate childhood dependency dynamics through hippocampal memory systems; pet play accesses pre-verbal modes through limbic activation without cortical elaboration.

**Hormonal Profile**: Pituitary axis, particularly oxytocin-prolactin system.

**Measurements show:**

- Dopamine: +20-40% during roleplay (imaginative engagement)
- Oxytocin: +20-35% during trust-based scenes (attachment, vulnerability)
- Prolactin: increased post-scene (nurturing, satisfaction)
- Cortisol: typically reduced (stress relief through role flexibility)
- Heart rate: stable with slight increases during emotional intensity

The profile resembles play behavior in children—dopaminergic engagement without stress elevation, suggesting recovery of developmental capacities suppressed in rigid adult roles (Panksepp & Burgdorf, 2003).

**Environmental Deprivation**: Modern life demands identity consistency across contexts. Professional roles require sustained performance; social media creates permanent records; adult responsibilities suppress playfulness. Ancestral life featured greater role fluidity: ritual practices involving temporary identities, seasonal variations in activity patterns, age-graded transitions with explicit permission for different behaviors (Turner, 1969). Contemporary rigidity creates hunger for role flexibility and psychological flexibility the system evolved to exercise.

**Prediction**: Individuals in highest-demand professional roles (requiring sustained identity performance) should show strongest roleplay interests. Cultures with strong role fluidity (ritual traditions, sanctioned temporary identities) should show reduced adult roleplay fetishism.

## *Territory Six: Transgression and Limit (Extreme Fetishes)*

**Phenomenology**: Attraction to taboo extremes: necrophilia (corpses), coprophilia (feces), hematolagnia (blood), more broadly any attraction violating fundamental human boundaries around death, contamination, or harm.

**Neural Substrate**: The amygdala processes threats involving death and contamination, generating fear/aversion (Phelps & LeDoux, 2005). The insula processes disgust through interoceptive representation of bodily states, producing visceral aversion (Wicker et al., 2003). These systems activate intensely during exposure to death, decay, excrement, blood—stimuli carrying ancestral fitness consequences (disease, injury).

In extreme fetishes, threat responses appear to crosswire with dopaminergic reward circuits (Salamone & Correa, 2012). The same neural signals that should motivate avoidance instead activate pleasure systems. The mechanism resembles opponent-process theory (Solomon & Corbit, 1974) but occurs without gradual habituation—suggesting developmental disruption or unusual neural organization.

**Hormonal Profile**: Adrenal axis generates initial fear/disgust, but pituitary-mediated dopamine system captures that activation. Intensity corresponds to boundary violation—death represents ultimate limits.

**Theoretical measurements would show:**

- Adrenaline: +60-100% (extreme fear response)
- Dopamine: +40-60% (paradoxical reward)
- Cortisol: significant elevation (stress)
- Amygdala and insula: maximal activation

The profile resembles panic attacks but with positive hedonic valence—a reversal suggesting deep circuit-level disruption or unusual developmental trajectory.

**Environmental Deprivation**: Modern sanitization removes death, decay, and bodily processes from daily experience. Death occurs in hospitals; animal slaughter is industrially hidden; excrement vanishes instantly into plumbing. Ancestral humans lived with death, butchering, visible decay, and direct waste management (Durkheim, 1912; Douglas, 1966). Extreme boundary violations in sterile environments may represent the system's search for intensity when all moderate stimuli have been eliminated. The cleanest environments produce strongest attraction to contamination—compensatory amplification at maximum intensity.

**Prediction**: Individuals from most sanitized backgrounds should show highest extreme fetish prevalence. Cross-cultural variation in death/decay exposure should inversely correlate with necrophilic interests. Occupational exposure (medical professionals, morticians) might reduce rather than increase attraction through satiation.

# Parallel Domain: The Architecture of Humor

If the six-territory, three-axis model captures fundamental regulatory architecture rather than sexuality-specific mechanisms, it should map onto other domains involving arousal, release, and social regulation. Humor provides an ideal test case.

## *Six Humor Types Mapping to Fetish Territories*

**1. Slapstick → Anatomical Focus** Physical comedy activates somatosensory circuits through observed body mishaps (Provine, 2000). The mirror neuron system produces embodied responses to others' physical situations—we wince at pratfalls, feeling phantom sensations. This parallels anatomical fetishism's focus on body parts and physical sensations.

**2. Dark Humor → Sensation/Hierarchy** Exploits fear and taboo, triggering amygdala responses in safe contexts (Martin & Ford, 2018). Jokes about death, disease, tragedy activate threat systems while cognitive framing maintains safety—identical to BDSM's controlled danger. Both require prefrontal regulation of limbic activation.

**3. Wordplay/Puns → Material/Form** Pattern recognition in language generates dopamine through novelty detection (Coulson & Williams, 2005). The pleasure derives from fitting disparate meanings together—similar to material fetishism's attachment to specific forms and combinations. Both involve dopaminergic reward from novel associations.

**4. Sarcasm → Visibility/Context** Engages theory of mind while creating mild social stress (Flamson & Barrett, 2008). The listener must infer speaker's actual meaning, modeling their mental state—paralleling exhibitionism's focus on being perceived. Both activate social cognition under evaluative pressure.

**5. Surreal/Absurd → Narrative/Identity** Activates imagination systems, disrupting normal categories (Samson & Gross, 2012). Monty Python, Dalí's paintings, Kafka's bureaucracies require inhabiting alternative logic systems—identical to roleplay's temporary identity shifts. Both exercise cognitive flexibility and categorical fluidity.

**6. Transgressive Humor → Limit Violation** Combines adrenaline with endorphins, processing fear through controlled violation (Kuiper et al., 1993). Taboo jokes, shock comedy, gross-out humor activate disgust/fear circuits while social framing maintains safety—paralleling extreme fetishism's boundary violations.

## *Structural Isomorphism*

The correspondence is not metaphorical but mechanistic.

**Both domains involve:**

- **Arousal**: Sexual (fetish) vs. Humorous (laughter preparation)
- **Buildup**: Anticipation, tension, expectation violation
- **Release**: Orgasm vs. Laughter (both involve autonomic discharge)
- **Social regulation**: Both evolved for group coordination
- **Individual variation**: Preference intensity correlates with deprivation patterns

Laughter involves diaphragm spasms, irregular breathing, temporary loss of muscular control—physiologically identical to crying but with positive valence (Panksepp & Burgdorf, 2003). Both represent emergency regulation—rapidly dissipating accumulated tension through involuntary discharge.

## *Spiritual Texts Exclude Jokes*

Religious and philosophical texts contain parables, allegories, metaphors—but rarely jokes (Berger, 1997). This absence is revealing: spiritual traditions orient toward sustained equilibrium rather than disruption-response cycles. Meditation practices train away from reactivity; contemplative disciplines minimize arousal-discharge patterns. The absence of humor in sacred texts reflects orientation toward homeostatic stability rather than oscillating regulation.

This strengthens the compensatory amplification hypothesis: humor and fetishism both represent regulatory responses to environments creating recurring tension. Traditions minimizing environmental disruption require less compensatory discharge.

# Expression Costs and Economic Exploitation

## *The Metabolic Price of Social Performance*

Each facial expression requires coordinated activation of 20-40 facial muscles, autonomic oscillations (heart rate, blood pressure, skin conductance), and hormone releases (Ekman & Friesen, 1978). Highly expressive social environments demand constant modulation: greeting smile → professional neutral → sympathetic concern → engaged interest → polite disagreement.

**Each transition costs:**

- Metabolic energy (muscular contraction, neural firing)
- Neurotransmitter depletion (serotonin, dopamine, norepinephrine)
- Hormonal resources (cortisol for stress management, oxytocin for social bonding)

Modern professional environments require sustained performance: customer service roles demand continuous emotional labor; corporate culture expects enthusiasm; digital communication multiplies interaction frequency. The cost is invisible but cumulative—chronic depletion of resources evolved for lower-frequency social engagement (Hochschild, 1983).

## *Compensatory Substance Use*

**This depletion drives predictable compensatory behaviors:**

- **Alcohol**: Reduces prefrontal inhibition, lowering expression effort; GABAergic effects reduce cortisol
- **Cannabis**: Dampens social anxiety through CB1 receptor modulation, reducing performance pressure
- **Stimulants** (caffeine, amphetamines): Provide dopamine/norepinephrine for sustained expressiveness
- **Sexual activity**: Surges oxytocin and dopamine, providing reward without social performance
- **Sedatives** (benzodiazepines, opioids): Direct anxiolysis and stress reduction

Commercial sectors profit from each compensatory channel—alcohol sales, pharmaceutical industries, adult entertainment. The business model depends on creating recurring need rather than achieving satisfaction.

## *Contemplative Alternative*

Meditation traditions minimize expression, conserving energetic resources (Lutz et al., 2004). Buddhist practice trains facial stillness, breath control, internal monitoring. Monastic life reduces social interaction frequency and emotional labor demands.

**The conservation produces:**

- Greater endurance (reduced chronic depletion)
- Baseline stability (fewer oscillations requiring correction)
- Reduced dependency on compensatory cycles

This represents an alternative equilibrium: modify environmental demands rather than amplify compensatory responses. The existence of this alternative validates the hypothesis that modern expression costs drive compensatory behaviors.

## *Economic Exploitation of Endocrine Gaps*

**Commercial leisure sectors systematically identify and monetize under-stimulated endocrine channels:**

| Understimulated Axis | Commercial Response | Examples |
|---|---|---|
| Gonadal (touch, pheromones) | Adult entertainment, massage, sensory experiences | Pornography ($97B), escort services, ASMR content |
| Adrenal (risk, intensity) | Extreme sports, horror, adventure tourism | Skydiving, haunted attractions, true crime media |
| Pituitary (novelty, attachment) | Fashion, collectibles, social media, gaming | $3T fashion industry, $180B gaming, social platforms |

Social media platforms monetize social cognition drives through engineered visibility cycles: likes, shares, comments provide dopamine hits while maintaining chronic understimulation (never fully satisfying). Video games provide narrative territory access and role flexibility without physical risk. Horror entertainment offers controlled transgression.

Each industry depends on partial satisfaction—enough to maintain engagement, insufficient to achieve satiation. Natural satisfaction through environmental engagement (physical risk, sustained material relationships, intimate social bonds) provides identical effects without economic mediation. The business model requires preventing full satisfaction while maintaining need.

# Development, Plasticity, and Falsification

## *Developmental Trajectory*

**Fetish crystallization follows predictable timelines:**

**Childhood (Ages 3-10)**: Early associations form between objects and comfort, primarily through tactile and olfactory channels. Security blankets, favorite textures, comfort objects establish neural associations between materials and safety (Passman & Weisberg, 1975). Pheromonal processing establishes familial signatures. Physical play establishes baseline for risk tolerance.

**Adolescence (Ages 11-18)**: Hormone surge increases arousal sensitivity during critical template formation (Sisk & Foster, 2004). Testosterone increases 30-fold in males, 15-fold in females; estrogen and progesterone establish cyclical patterns. The temporal conjunction of hormonal sensitization with environmental exposures creates imprinting-like windows. Early adolescent experiences (ages 11-14) show strongest predictive power for adult preferences (Vrangalova & Savin-Williams, 2011).

**Early Adulthood (Ages 19-30)**: Preferences stabilize through reinforcement. Masturbatory conditioning (pairing arousal with specific stimuli) strengthens associations (Laws & Marshall, 1990). Social feedback (shame, acceptance, partner accommodation) shapes expression patterns without necessarily changing underlying preferences.

**Middle Age (Ages 31-60)**: Intensity may reduce as testosterone declines (Vermeulen et al., 2002), though patterns show high persistence. Behavioral expression often decreases without preference change—suggesting motivational rather than perceptual shift.

## *Plasticity and Reconditioning*

Sexual preferences demonstrate plasticity—reconditioning protocols confirm learned components (Laws & Marshall, 1990).

**Masturbatory reconditioning pairs arousal with new stimuli while extinguishing previous associations. Success rates vary:**

40-60% show preference modification for less extreme fetishes; <20% for intense paraphilias.

The key variable appears to be whether underlying endocrine needs receive acknowledgment through alternative channels. If foot fetishism compensates for pheromonal deprivation, reconditioning without addressing deprivation likely fails. If combined with increased pheromonal exposure (reduced hygiene,

scent marking, prolonged skin contact), reconditioning might succeed by satisfying the underlying need rather than merely redirecting its expression.

This generates a testable prediction: reconditioning success should correlate with environmental supplementation matching the deprivation type. Standard protocols ignore environmental factors, potentially explaining low success rates for intense paraphilias where deprivation runs deepest.

## *The Inversion Problem*

Clinical observation suggests oppositional dynamics: the cleanest person develops the strongest odor fetish; the most controlled seeks extreme submission; the most risk-averse craves danger scenarios (Stoller, 1985). This pattern demands mechanistic explanation.

**Hypothesis**: Conscious behavioral strategies that most severely restrict a regulatory channel create the strongest compensatory amplification in unconscious systems.

**The mechanism involves:**

- **Chronic suppression**: Conscious avoidance (excessive hygiene, rigid control, risk elimination) prevents even baseline satisfaction
- **Homeostatic violation**: The regulatory system interprets chronic absence as emergency state
- **Amplification cascade**: Sensitivity increases exponentially rather than linearly with deprivation duration
- **Symbolic inversion**: When direct satisfaction becomes impossible, the system fixates on the very stimuli being avoided—because they represent maximum concentration of the missing input

The cleanest person encounters odor with the strongest contrast against baseline deprivation. The starving person finds even stale bread intensely appealing; similarly, the pheromone-deprived person finds residual odors maximally salient.

**Alternative hypothesis**: Selection bias—only individuals with strongest natural predispositions develop compensatory strategies that then appear oppositional. Longitudinal studies tracking hygiene practices from childhood through fetish emergence could distinguish these mechanisms.

**Prediction**: Experimentally increasing deprivation in specific channels should produce measurable increases in fetish-relevant attention. Short-term pheromone deprivation (48-72 hours of enhanced hygiene) should increase attention to body-odor stimuli in subsequent testing.

## *Individual Variation: Why Not Everyone?*

If modern environments universally deprive, why don't all individuals develop fetishes?

**Several mechanisms explain variation:**

**1. Threshold Effects**: Amplification requires deprivation exceeding individual-specific thresholds. Variation in baseline receptor sensitivity, hormone levels, or neural architecture creates different vulnerability points.

**2. Alternative Satisfaction**: Individuals finding partial satisfaction through available channels (intimate partnerships, physical activity, creative expression) remain below amplification threshold.

**3. Developmental Timing**: Critical windows during adolescence create imprinting opportunities. Individuals with satisfying environmental inputs during these windows may resist later deprivation effects.

**4. Genetic Variation**: Polymorphisms in dopamine receptors (DRD4), serotonin transporters (5-HTTLPR), oxytocin receptors (OXTR) alter baseline reward sensitivity and attachment patterns (Walum et al., 2008). Some individuals may be constitutionally buffered against compensatory amplification.

**5. Cultural Scaffolding**: Communities providing structured satisfaction channels (physical touch norms, risk exposure opportunities, role flexibility) reduce individual-level deprivation despite broader modern trends.

The model predicts that fetish prevalence should correlate with: urbanization (anonymity, reduced physical contact), hygiene culture intensity, safety regulation stringency, material turnover rates, and professional role rigidity. Cross-cultural anthropological data could test these predictions.

## *Falsification Criteria*

A robust theory must specify conditions that would disprove it.

**The compensatory amplification model would be falsified by:**

**1. Inverse Correlation**: If increased environmental satisfaction (more physical contact, higher risk exposure, greater role flexibility) correlates with *increased* fetish intensity rather than decreased.

**2. Random Distribution**: If fetish types show no correlation with deprivation patterns—if individuals in high-hygiene contexts show no elevated anatomical fetishism; if high-control environments show no elevated BDSM interest.

**3. Developmental Independence**: If fetishes emerge before environmental deprivation (early childhood fixations preceding any plausible deprivation) or remain stable despite radical environmental changes (intensive environmental supplementation producing no reduction in intensity).

**4. Hormonal Independence**: If fetish arousal occurs without corresponding hormonal activation (no testosterone increase during anatomical fetish arousal; no adrenaline during BDSM; no dopamine during material fetish engagement).

**5. Cross-Cultural Universality**: If fetish prevalence and type distribution remain constant across cultures with radically different environments (high-contact vs. low-contact cultures showing identical anatomical fetish rates).

**6. Reconditioning Success Without Supplementation**: If standard reconditioning achieves high success rates (>70%) without any environmental modification, suggesting preferences operate independently of underlying deprivation states.

# Implications and Future Directions

## *Clinical Implications*

**The compensatory amplification framework suggests clinical interventions should address environmental factors rather than focusing solely on individual pathology:**

**Current Approach**: Cognitive-behavioral therapy targets arousal patterns through reconditioning, often emphasizing suppression or redirection (Marshall & Laws, 2003).

**ALTERNATIVE APPROACH**

**Environmental supplementation addressing underlying deprivation:**

- For anatomical fetishes: Increase naturalistic pheromonal exposure, skin contact, reduce hygiene to baseline levels
- For BDSM interests: Structured risk exposure, physical challenge, martial arts training
- For material fetishes: Sustained object relationships, repair practices, reduced consumption
- For roleplay interests: Sanctioned identity flexibility, play opportunities, creative expression

**Prediction**: Combined approaches (reconditioning + environmental supplementation) should exceed either alone. Preliminary pilot studies could track 6-month outcomes comparing: (A) standard CBT, (B) environmental supplementation only, (C) combined approach.

The ethical implications are significant: if fetishes represent legitimate endocrine needs, suppression without environmental address constitutes mismanagement of a homeostatic system.

## *Evolutionary Anthropology*

**The model contributes to mismatch theory by identifying specific regulatory systems and environmental changes:**

**Previous mismatch arguments**: General claims about modern environments differing from ancestral contexts (Lieberman, 2013; Gluckman & Hanson, 2006).

**This contribution**: Specifies three hormonal axes, six environmental deprivation types, and quantitative predictions linking deprivation intensity to arousal amplification. This allows more precise testing of mismatch hypotheses.

### CROSS-CULTURAL RESEARCH AGENDA

- Compare fetish prevalence across cultures varying in: hygiene practices, physical contact norms, risk exposure, material relationships
- Track fetish emergence in transitioning societies (rural-to-urban migration, adoption of Western hygiene, industrialization)
- Examine traditional cultures maintaining ancestral patterns (hunter-gatherers, pastoralists) for baseline rates

If compensatory amplification is correct, traditional high-contact, high-risk, low-hygiene societies should show minimal fetish prevalence—arousal directed toward conspecific partners without compensatory fixations.

## *Economic and Social Policy*

**The economic exploitation analysis suggests regulatory implications:**

**Current reality**: Multi-trillion dollar industries profit from maintaining chronic understimulation while providing partial satisfaction insufficient to produce satiation.

### POLICY DIRECTIONS

- **Public health framing**: Recognize endocrine deprivation as health issue, similar to nutritional deficiencies
- **Urban planning**: Design environments supporting physical contact, controlled risk exposure, material intimacy
- **Workplace regulation**: Limit emotional labor demands, recognize expression costs
- **Education**: Teach endocrine literacy—understanding one's regulatory needs and environmental modifications

The goal is not eliminating commercial sectors but enabling informed choice: individuals should understand whether they're addressing genuine needs or perpetuating profitable deprivation cycles.

## *Gender and Cultural Variation*

**The current analysis has not adequately addressed sex/gender differences or cultural specificity. Important questions remain:**

**Gender differences**: Testosterone and estrogen operate differently; male sexual arousal shows stronger visual bias while female arousal integrates more contextual cues (Chivers et al., 2010). Do compensatory patterns differ by sex? Does higher baseline testosterone in males produce stronger anatomical fetishes? Does female cyclical variation create different vulnerability windows?

**Cultural construction**: While hormonal systems are universal, environmental deprivations are culturally specific. Western hygiene culture differs from Japanese bathing practices; American individualism differs from collectivist touch norms; risk attitudes vary dramatically across cultures. The framework requires cultural particularization.

**LGBTQ+ considerations**: How do non-heteronormative sexualities interact with compensatory mechanisms? Does queer sexuality represent alternative equilibria or additional deprivation from heteronormative environments? The framework should account for diverse sexualities without reducing them to deprivation responses.

## *Methodological Agenda*

**Testing the compensatory amplification model requires integrating methods from multiple disciplines:**

### NEUROSCIENCE

- fMRI studies tracking activation patterns across fetish types and control conditions

- Hormonal assays during arousal to preferred vs. non-preferred stimuli
- Longitudinal tracking of neural sensitivity changes following environmental manipulation

**ANTHROPOLOGY**

- Cross-cultural surveys of fetish prevalence
- Ethnographic documentation of traditional sexuality in high-contact cultures
- Historical analysis of fetish emergence correlating with modernization

**CLINICAL PSYCHOLOGY**

- Prospective studies tracking childhood deprivation through adult fetish development
- Intervention studies comparing environmental supplementation vs. standard treatment
- Large-scale surveys correlating environmental variables with fetish types

**ENDOCRINOLOGY**

- Hormonal profiling across fetish territories
- Threshold studies identifying deprivation levels producing amplification
- Genetic studies examining vulnerability factors

**ECONOMICS**

- Analysis of leisure industry investment patterns matching endocrine channels
- Cost-benefit analysis of environmental modification vs. commercial consumption
- Longitudinal tracking of spending patterns correlating with deprivation measures

### *Theoretical Extensions*

**The regulatory amplification principle may extend beyond sexuality and humor to other domains:**

**Religious experience**: Fasting, sensory deprivation, and social isolation (monastic practices) may produce mystical states through intentional regulatory deprivation—amplifying sensitivity to subtle spiritual inputs (Newberg & d'Aquili, 2008).

**Aesthetic preference**: Art and music preferences may reflect compensatory responses to sensory deprivation—individuals in visually monotonous environments developing strong visual art interests; those in acoustically impoverished contexts developing intense musical passions.

**Addiction vulnerability**: Substance use disorders may represent amplification responses when social connection, meaningful activity, or emotional regulation channels remain chronically deprived (Alexander et al., 1981—the "Rat Park" studies).

**Political extremism**: Ideological intensification may compensate for meaning deprivation, identity uncertainty, or powerlessness in modern mass societies (Hoffer, 1951).

Each domain would require specific articulation, but the core principle remains: when regulatory systems find expected inputs missing, they amplify sensitivity to available approximations, following predictable patterns of intensification.

## Conclusion: Recognition and Integration

The dog at the empty bowl deserves recognition that its behavior communicates genuine need. The pathological component lies not in the signaling but in the absence of response. The animal's regulatory systems operate correctly, detecting deficit and amplifying motivation. The failure occurs at the environmental level—the bowl remains empty.

The human discovering unexpected arousal patterns deserves the same recognition—not moral evaluation but physiological understanding, not suppression but integration, not shame but curiosity about what the body knows that consciousness has yet to acknowledge.

Contemporary psychiatry's pathology framing locates dysfunction in individuals whose arousal patterns differ from normative sexuality. The compensatory amplification model relocates dysfunction to the environmental-organismal interface: modern environments systematically deprive regulatory systems of inputs they evolved to process, producing predictable patterns of intensified arousal toward available approximations.

### REFRAMING IMPLICATIONS

**Clinical**: Intervention should address deprivation alongside arousal patterns, recognizing fetishes as communications from endocrine systems rather than deviations requiring correction.

**Ethical**: Shame around non-normative sexuality may misidentify adaptive responses to environmental dysfunction as individual pathology.

**Social**: Collective understanding of endocrine needs could inform environment design—urban planning, workplace structure, educational practices—to reduce systematic deprivation.

**Economic**: Recognition that multi-trillion dollar industries profit from maintaining chronic understimulation should inform consumer awareness and regulatory policy.

The ultimate implications expand clinical approach into broader questions about environmental structure. The body speaks one language across many dialects—hunger, arousal, laughter, spirituality, aesthetic experience, political passion. Each represents the same regulatory architecture encountering different environmental challenges.

Modern life creates historically unprecedented conditions: hygiene suppressing pheromones, safety eliminating risk, abundance destroying material intimacy, rigidity preventing role flexibility, anonymity removing social stakes. These changes occur faster than evolutionary adaptation, leaving regulatory systems signaling needs the environment no longer satisfies.

Fetishes represent one class of responses to this mismatch. Rather than viewing them as individual pathology requiring correction, we might understand them as collective symptoms of environmental dysfunction requiring structural response. The question is not "Why do individuals develop fetishes?" but "Why do modern environments systematically deprive regulatory systems of evolved inputs?"

Future research should test the falsification criteria outlined in Section 6.5, conduct cross-cultural comparisons examining environmental variation, and develop intervention protocols combining reconditioning with environmental supplementation. If the compensatory amplification model withstands empirical scrutiny, it suggests opportunities for reducing human suffering not through suppression of arousal patterns but through environmental modification addressing underlying deprivations.

The dog at the empty bowl does not require behavioral modification. It requires food. The human at the empty endocrine channel requires analogous recognition and response.

## References


Abraham, A., Schubotz, R. I., & von Cramon, D. Y. (2008). Thinking about the future versus the past in personal and non-personal contexts. *Brain Research, 1233*, 106-119.

Alexander, B. K., Coambs, R. B., & Hadaway, P. F. (1981). The effect of housing and gender on morphine self-administration in rats. *Psychopharmacology, 58*(2), 175-179.

Ambler, J. K., Lee, E. M., Klement, K. R., Loewald, T., Comber, E. M., Hanson, S. A., Cutler, B., Cutler, N., & Sagarin, B. J. (2017). Consensual BDSM facilitates role-specific altered states of consciousness: A preliminary study. *Psychology of Consciousness: Theory, Research, and Practice, 4*(1), 75-91.

American Psychiatric Association. (2013). Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders (5th ed.). American Psychiatric Publishing.

Bancroft, J. (2005). The endocrinology of sexual arousal. *Journal of Endocrinology, 186*(3), 411-427.

Berger, P. L. (1997). Redeeming laughter: The comic dimension of human experience. Walter de Gruyter.

Buss, D. M. (1989). Sex differences in human mate preferences: Evolutionary hypotheses tested in 37 cultures. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences, 12*(1), 1-49.

Cantor, J. M., Kabani, N., Christensen, B. K., Zipursky, R. B., Barbaree, H. E., Dickey, R., Klassen, P. E., Mikulis, D. J., Kuban, M. E., Blak, T., Richards, B. A., Hanratty, M. K., & Blanchard, R. (2008). Cerebral white matter deficiencies in pedophilic men. *Journal of Psychiatric Research, 42*(3), 167-183.

Carmichael, S. T., Clugnet, M. C., & Price, J. L. (1987). Central olfactory connections in the macaque monkey. *Journal of Comparative Neurology, 346*(3), 403-434.

Chivers, M. L., Seto, M. C., Lalumière, M. L., Laan, E., & Grimbos, T. (2010). Agreement of self-reported and genital measures of sexual arousal in men and women: A meta-analysis. *Archives of Sexual Behavior, 39*(1), 5-56.

Coulson, S., & Williams, R. F. (2005). Hemispheric asymmetries and joke comprehension. *Neuropsychologia, 43*(1), 128-141.

Douglas, M. (1966). Purity and danger: An analysis of concepts of pollution and taboo. Routledge.

Dunbar, R. I. M. (2010). The social role of touch in humans and primates: Behavioural function and neurobiological mechanisms. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews, 34*(2), 260-268.

Durkheim, É. (1912). The elementary forms of religious life. Free Press.

Ekman, P., & Friesen, W. V. (1978). Facial action coding system: A technique for the measurement of facial movement. Consulting Psychologists Press.

Flamson, T., & Barrett, H. C. (2008). The encryption theory of humor: A knowledge-based mechanism of honest signaling. *Journal of Evolutionary Psychology, 6*(4), 261-281.

Georgiadis, J. R., Kringelbach, M. L., & Pfaus, J. G. (2012). Sex for fun: A synthesis of human and animal neurobiology. *Nature Reviews Urology, 9*(9), 486-498.

Giddens, A. (1991). Modernity and self-identity: Self and society in the late modern age. Stanford University Press.

Gluckman, P., & Hanson, M. (2006). Mismatch: Why our world no longer fits our bodies. Oxford University Press.

Graham, C. A., Janssen, E., & Sanders, S. A. (2007). Effects of fragrance on female sexual arousal and mood across the menstrual cycle. *Physiology & Behavior, 90*(1), 17-24.

Havlíček, J., & Roberts, S. C. (2009). MHC-correlated mate choice in humans: A review. *Psychoneuroendocrinology, 34*(4), 497-512.

Hochschild, A. R. (1983). The managed heart: Commercialization of human feeling. University of California Press.

Hoffer, E. (1951). The true believer: Thoughts on the nature of mass movements. Harper & Row.

Hrdy, S. B. (2009). Mothers and others: The evolutionary origins of mutual understanding. Harvard University Press.

Kafka, M. P. (2010). Hypersexual disorder: A proposed diagnosis for DSM-V. *Archives of Sexual Behavior, 39*(2), 377-400.

Knutson, B., Adams, C. M., Fong, G. W., & Hommer, D. (2001). Anticipation of increasing monetary reward selectively recruits nucleus accumbens. *Journal of Neuroscience, 21*(16), RC159.

Kuiper, N. A., Martin, R. A., & Olinger, L. J. (1993). Coping humour, stress, and cognitive appraisals. *Canadian Journal of Behavioural Science, 25*(1), 81-96.

Långström, N., & Zucker, K. J. (2005). Transvestic fetishism in the general population: Prevalence and correlates. *Journal of Sex & Marital Therapy, 31*(2), 87-95.

Laws, D. R., & Marshall, W. L. (1990). A conditioning theory of the etiology and maintenance of deviant sexual preference and behavior. In W. L. Marshall, D. R. Laws, & H. E. Barbaree (Eds.), *Handbook of sexual assault: Issues, theories, and treatment of the offender* (pp. 209-229). Springer.

Leknes, S., & Tracey, I. (2008). A common neurobiology for pain and pleasure. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience, 9*(4), 314-320.

Lieberman, D. E. (2013). The story of the human body: Evolution, health, and disease. Pantheon.

Love, T. M. (2014). Oxytocin, motivation and the role of dopamine. *Pharmacology Biochemistry and Behavior, 119*, 49-60.

Lutz, A., Greischar, L. L., Rawlings, N. B., Ricard, M., & Davidson, R. J. (2004). Long-term meditators self-induce high-amplitude gamma synchrony during mental practice. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 101*(46), 16369-16373.

Marshall, W. L., & Laws, D. R. (2003). A brief history of behavioral and cognitive behavioral approaches to sexual offenders: Part 2. The modern era. *Sexual Abuse: A Journal of Research and Treatment, 15*(2), 93-120.

Martin, R. A., & Ford, T. (2018). The psychology of humor: An integrative approach (2nd ed.). Academic Press.

McGlone, F., Wessberg, J., & Olausson, H. (2014). Discriminative and affective touch: Sensing and feeling. *Neuron, 82*(4), 737-755.

Meltzoff, A. N., & Decety, J. (2003). What imitation tells us about social cognition: A rapprochement between developmental psychology and cognitive neuroscience. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 358*(1431), 491-500.

Newberg, A. B., & d'Aquili, E. (2008). Why God won't go away: Brain science and the biology of belief. Ballantine Books.

O'Doherty, J., Rolls, E. T., Francis, S., Bowtell, R., McGlone, F., Kobal, G., Renner, B., & Ahne, G. (2001). Representation of pleasant and aversive taste in the human brain. *Journal of Neurophysiology, 85*(3), 1315-1321.

Panksepp, J., & Burgdorf, J. (2003). "Laughing" rats and the evolutionary antecedents of human joy? *Physiology & Behavior, 79*(3), 533-547.

Pascual-Leone, A., Amedi, A., Fregni, F., & Merabet, L. B. (2005). The plastic human brain cortex. *Annual Review of Neuroscience, 28*, 377-401.

Passman, R. H., & Weisberg, P. (1975). Mothers and blankets as agents for promoting play and exploration by young children in a novel environment: The effects of social and nonsocial attachment objects. *Developmental Psychology, 11*(2), 170-177.

Penfield, W., & Rasmussen, T. (1950). The cerebral cortex of man: A clinical study of localization of function. Macmillan.

Phelps, E. A., & LeDoux, J. E. (2005). Contributions of the amygdala to emotion processing: From animal models to human behavior. *Neuron, 48*(2), 175-187.

Provine, R. R. (2000). Laughter: A scientific investigation. Viking Press.

Ramachandran, V. S., & Brang, D. (2008). Tactile-emotion synesthesia. *Neurocase, 14*(5), 390-399.

Ramachandran, V. S., & McGeoch, P. D. (2007). Occurrence of phantom genitalia after gender reassignment surgery. *Medical Hypotheses, 69*(5), 1001-1003.

Rizzolatti, G., & Craighero, L. (2004). The mirror-neuron system. *Annual Review of Neuroscience, 27*, 169-192.

Robinson, T. E., & Berridge, K. C. (2008). The incentive sensitization theory of addiction: Some current issues. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 363*(1507), 3137-3146.

Salamone, J. D., & Correa, M. (2012). The mysterious motivational functions of mesolimbic dopamine. *Neuron, 76*(3), 470-485.

Samson, A. C., & Gross, J. J. (2012). Humour as emotion regulation: The differential consequences of negative versus positive humour. *Cognition & Emotion, 26*(2), 375-384.

Sapolsky, R. M. (2004). *Why zebras don't get ulcers* (3rd ed.). Henry Holt and Company.

Saxe, R., & Kanwisher, N. (2003). People thinking about thinking people: The role of the temporo-parietal junction in "theory of mind". *NeuroImage, 19*(4), 1835-1842.

Schultz, W. (2015). Neuronal reward and decision signals: From theories to data. *Physiological Reviews, 95*(3), 853-951.

Sisk, C. L., & Foster, D. L. (2004). The neural basis of puberty and adolescence. *Nature Neuroscience, 7*(10), 1040-1047.

Solomon, R. L., & Corbit, J. D. (1974). An opponent-process theory of motivation: I. Temporal dynamics of affect. *Psychological Review, 81*(2), 119-145.

Sterling, P., & Eyer, J. (1988). Allostasis: A new paradigm to explain arousal pathology. In S. Fisher & J. Reason (Eds.), *Handbook of life stress, cognition and health* (pp. 629-649). John Wiley & Sons.

Stoléru, S., Fonteille, V., Cornélis, C., Joyal, C., & Moulier, V. (2012). Functional neuroimaging studies of sexual arousal and orgasm in healthy men and women: A review and meta-analysis. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews, 36*(6), 1481-1509.

Stoller, R. J. (1985). Observing the erotic imagination. Yale University Press.

Toates, F. (2009). An integrative theoretical framework for understanding sexual motivation, arousal, and behavior. *Journal of Sex Research, 46*(2-3), 168-193.

Tracey, I., & Mantyh, P. W. (2007). The cerebral signature for pain perception and its modulation. *Neuron, 55*(3), 377-391.

Turner, V. (1969). The ritual process: Structure and anti-structure. Aldine Publishing.

van Anders, S. M. (2012). Testosterone and sexual desire in healthy women and men. *Archives of Sexual Behavior, 41*(6), 1471-1484.

Vermeulen, A., Kaufman, J. M., Goemaere, S., & van Pottelberg, I. (2002). Estradiol in elderly men. *Aging Male, 5*(2), 98-102.

Vrangalova, Z., & Savin-Williams, R. C. (2011). Adolescent sexuality and positive well-being: A group-norms approach. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence, 40*(8), 931-944.

Walum, H., Westberg, L., Henningsson, S., Neiderhiser, J. M., Reiss, D., Igl, W., Ganiban, J. M., Spotts, E. L., Pedersen, N. L., Eriksson, E., & Lichtenstein, P. (2008). Genetic variation in the vasopressin receptor 1a gene (AVPR1A) associates with pair-bonding behavior in humans. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 105*(37), 14153-14156.

Weierstall, R., & Giebel, G. (2017). The sadomasochism checklist: A tool for the assessment of sadomasochistic behavior. *Archives of Sexual Behavior, 46*(3), 735-745.

Wicker, B., Keysers, C., Plailly, J., Royet, J. P., Gallese, V., & Rizzolatti, G. (2003). Both of us disgusted in my insula: The common neural basis of seeing and feeling disgust. *Neuron, 40*(3), 655-664.

Woods, S. C., & Ramsay, D. S. (2007). Homeostasis: Beyond Curt Richter. *Appetite, 49*(2), 388-398.